

A map of Phoenix, Arizona, with numerous orange house icons scattered across it. The icons are more densely packed in certain areas, particularly in the central and eastern parts of the city. The map shows major roads like I-10, I-17, and SR 303. A large orange circle is overlaid on the left side of the map, containing the main text.

**IF WE DON'T FIX  
SHORT TERM  
RENTALS,  
WE WILL  
NEVER FIX  
OUR HOUSING  
CRISIS**

The logo for the Arizona Neighborhood Alliance, featuring a stylized house icon with a yellow roof and a red star on top, set against a dark blue background.

**ARIZONA  
NEIGHBORHOOD  
ALLIANCE**

# “THE ECONOMIC COSTS AND BENEFITS OF AIRBNB”

The following excerpt is from the *Economic Policy Institute Study*, dated January 30, 2019:

**The economic costs Airbnb imposes likely outweigh the benefits.** While the introduction and expansion of Airbnb into U.S. cities and cities around the world carries large potential economic benefits and costs, the costs to renters and local jurisdictions likely exceed the benefits to travelers and property owners.

**Rising housing costs are a key problem for American families, and evidence suggests that the presence of Airbnb raises local housing costs.** The largest and best-documented potential cost of Airbnb expansion is the reduced supply of housing as properties shift from serving local residents to serving Airbnb travelers, which hurts local residents by raising housing costs. There is evidence this cost is real:

- *Because housing demand is relatively inelastic (people’s demand for somewhere to live doesn’t decline when prices increase), even small changes in housing supply (like those caused by converting long-term rental properties to Airbnb units) can cause significant price increases.*

*High-quality studies indicate that Airbnb introduction and expansion in New York City, for example, may have raised average rents by nearly \$400 annually for city residents.*

- *The rising cost of housing is a key problem for American families. Housing costs have risen significantly faster than overall prices (and the price of short-term travel accommodations) since 2000, and housing accounts for a significant share (more than 15 percent) of overall household consumption expenditures.*

**Property owners do benefit from Airbnb’s capacity to lower the transaction costs of operating short-term rentals, but the beneficiaries are disproportionately white and high-wealth households.** Wealth from property ownership is skewed, with higher-wealth and white households holding a disproportionate share of housing wealth overall—and an even more disproportionate share of housing wealth from non-primary residences because they are much more likely to own non-primary residential property (such as multi-unit Airbnb rentals).

**The shift from traditional hotels to Airbnb lodging leads to less-reliable tax payments to cities.** Several large American cities with a large Airbnb presence rely heavily on lodging taxes. Airbnb has largely blocked the ability of these cities to transparently collect lodging taxes on Airbnb rentals that are equivalent to lodging taxes on hotel rooms. One study found that the voluntary agreements Airbnb has struck with state and local governments “[undermine] tax fairness, transparency, and the rule of law.”



# ARIZONA

## BY THE NUMBERS

(1)

**TABLE 15. Active Short Term Rentals in Select Cities in Arizona**

Region	2019Q3	2022Q3	Growth
Scottsdale	4,589	7,319	59%
Phoenix	4,160	7,194	73%
Sedona	2,075	3,126	51%
Mesa	1,004	1,692	69%
Lake Havasu City	884	1,991	125%
Tucson	3,359	4,965	48%

Source: AirDNA; <https://www.airdna.co/vacation-rental-data/app/us/arizona/>

(1)- Analysis of Housing Availability and Affordability in Arizona with Policy Recommendations - Draft, dated 12/7/22

(2)- AZNA Report: SHORT-TERM RENTAL IMPACT on ARIZONA'S HOUSING SUPPLY, January 2023

(2)

Location	Total as of March 2022	Total as of Jan 2023	Percentage of Growth
<b>Arizona</b>	<b>43,522</b>	<b>63,847</b>	<b>+46.7%</b>
Apache	417	451	+8.15%
Cochise	953	881	(8.17%)
Coconino	4,633	5,342	+15.3%
Gila	823	980	+19.07%
Graham	N/A	3	-
Greenlee	N/A	16	-
La Paz	196	220	+12.2%
Maricopa	22,296	34,829	+56.2%
Mohave	1,868	3,551	+90.1%
Navajo	1,720	2,865	+66.6%
Pima	4,103	6,492	+58.2%
Pinal	726	1,199	+65.1%
Santa Cruz	294	306	+4.1%
Yavapai	4,743	5,892	+24.2%
Yuma	702	821	+17.0%

# BRIEF HISTORY OF SHORT TERM RENTALS

**BEFORE 2016**

Arizona cities and towns are allowed to regulate STRs. The housing market is healthy.

**APRIL 2018**

(3)

20% of Sedona housing inventory now STRs. Elementary school closed, due to declining enrollment.

**JAN 2023**

(4)

Estimated number of STRs in Arizona: AZNA = 63,847

A 47% increase since March 2022.

SB 1350 is signed into law, prohibiting cities & towns from regulating STRs.

**MAY 2016**

AirDNA estimates there are 24,318 STRs in Arizona.

**AUG 2020**

Arizona has an affordable housing crisis - AZCentral, Oct 2022

**OCT 2022**

**\*Nov 2024**: Because STR investors & the industry co-opted the original intent of home sharing, AZNA predicts 136,000 STRs will impact over **one million voting neighbors** in Arizona.

(3): Source - AZ Central, January 2019, Sedona's housing woes threaten its sense of community. Some blame vacation rentals

(4): Source - AZNA Report: SHORT-TERM RENTAL IMPACT on ARIZONA'S HOUSING SUPPLY, January 2023

# Our short term rental status

Airbnb was originally designed for “*people who want to welcome into their home, new experiences, new cultures, and new conversations*”,<sup>5)</sup> but it didn’t take long for this lovely, home-sharing idea to evolve into the unhosted hotel juggernaut it is today.

- **Municipalities are still prohibited from regulating STRs** differently from permanent residents when the behaviors and activities at STRs are completely different, and beg for different rules.
- **AZDOR has taken no enforcement** action on STRs, even in the face of rampant non-compliance with TPT licensing. (6) AZDOR has yet to issue a verified violation.
- STRs rent for 3 to 4 times as much as similar houses on a long-term basis, so **investors can always outbid families** looking to buy or rent a permanent home.
- STR licenses and permits are issued to operators, not properties. Though **municipalities can suspend – but not revoke** – permits for certain limited violations, a bad operator (“host”) who gets suspended can either lease or deed the property to another LLC and go right back into business.
- The **average Arizona resident pays twice as much** in income tax as the average STR pays in TPT tax.<sup>(6)</sup>
- STRs cause additional uncompensated **burdens on city services**, such as increased trash, unscheduled trash pickup, increased water and sewer demand, and a disproportionate number of police calls.

## THE BIGGEST HURDLES TO FIXING THE STR PROBLEM IN AZ:

*Lack of transparency*

*Lack of enforcement*

*Lack of control*

The responsibility falls upon understaffed and underfunded, local municipal agencies to search for STRs, then verify they are licensed and meeting TPT requirements.

**Shouldn’t some responsibility fall upon the rental platforms who are profiting off Arizona’s neighborhoods?**

(5) <https://blog.airbnb.com/belong-anywhere/>

(6) AZDOR, Annual Report, 2022



For every STR located  
in a residential  
neighborhood,  
condominium complex  
or apartment building,  
there are always  
neighbors.

AND THOSE NEIGHBORS  
PROBABLY VOTE.

\* Just in time for the 2024 election!

At the current rate of growth, AZNA  
projects there will be 136,000 STRs in  
Arizona **impacting 1.8 million voters**  
in Arizona.



# SOLUTIONS THAT PUT OUR NEIGHBORHOODS FIRST

## FEDERAL

**Lobby congress to restrict 1031 Exchange rules** - Smaller investors are using this tax deferral option to flood AZ with STR purchases and further commoditize our fragile housing market.

## STATE

**Enable Local Municipalities** - Allow local municipalities to regulate this industry to best serve their residents without fear of a state 1487 investigation and the possible loss of state funds.

**Accountability** - Lack of accountability is a common thread with the dozens of online marketers (“OLMs”) operating in AZ. Currently, the Department of Revenue and municipalities receive a lump remittance of TPT taxes from each OLM, with no property identifications. For the privilege of doing business in Arizona, require all OLM remittances to include the location and tax amount collected for each STR.

**Verified Listing** - Require OLMs to confirm STR operators comply with all local regulations and requirements before listing any property on their website. This would dramatically enhance compliance and enforcement quickly and efficiently. This is already done in hundreds of markets and Arizona should require it too.

## COUNTIES & CITIES

**Tax Fairness** - Allow cities to tax unhosted/whole-unit, STRs as the commercial businesses they are.

**Deed Restrictions on New Housing Developments** - As single- and multi-family developments seek approval, include stipulations prohibiting whole-unit short-term renting.

**Zoning** - Honor the zoning already in place. Allow only hosted STRs to operate in residentially zoned areas and require unhosted/whole-unit STRs to operate in commercially zoned areas.

**Clarity** - Define and clarify parameters and requirements for police responding to STR noise & nuisance calls from affected residents.

# Sources

TPT Licensing - AZ Dept. of Revenue:

<https://azdor.gov/transaction-privilege-tax/short-term-lodging>

Airbnb rebrands itself with a 'people, places, love' motto, 2014:

<https://www.cnet.com/tech/services-and-software/airbnb-rebrands-itself-with-a-people-places-love-motto/>

Northeastern University Study:

<https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0253315#sec012>

Scottsdale 'concerned' by response to vacation rental law, 2022:

<https://www.yourvalley.net/stories/scottsdale-concerned-by-response-to-vacation-rental-law,352393>

Introducing Airbnb-friendly apartments

<https://news.airbnb.com/introducing-airbnb-friendly-apartments/>

ASU Project Humanities panel discussion addresses roots of crisis

<https://news.asu.edu/20220909-arizona-impact-valley-housing-crisis-growing-cancer>

Investors buying thousands of Phoenix-area homes as rent prices spike, 2022

<https://www.azfamily.com/2022/03/19/investors-buying-thousands-phoenix-area-homes-rent-prices-spike/>

Maricopa Association of Governments, Housing Update

October 2022

<https://azmag.gov/Programs/Maps-and-Data/Land-Use-and-Real-Estate/Housing-Data-Explorer>

Economic Policy Institute- The economic costs and benefits of Airbnb, 2019:

<https://www.epi.org/publication/the-economic-costs-and-benefits-of-airbnb-no-reason-for-local-policy-makers-to-let-airbnb-bypass-tax-or-regulatory-obligations/>

Research: When Airbnb Listings in a City Increase, So Do Rent Prices, 2019:

<https://hbr.org/2019/04/research-when-airbnb-listings-in-a-city-increase-so-do-rent-prices>

Introducing Airbnb-friendly apartments

Rent a place to live. Airbnb it part-time:

<https://www.airbnb.com/airbnb-friendly>

Neighborhood Housing Crisis Map:

[https://www.google.com/maps/d/lu/0/edit?mid=1nb8cnmSZX8F8-ELj7h2h-35KIOQv\\_50Ku&usp=sharing](https://www.google.com/maps/d/lu/0/edit?mid=1nb8cnmSZX8F8-ELj7h2h-35KIOQv_50Ku&usp=sharing)

Sedona housing woes blame short term rentals:

<https://www.azcentral.com/story/news/local/arizona/2019/01/29/sedona-faces-housing-shortage-short-term-vaction-rentals-take-over/2342431002/>



We are a grassroots organization made up of neighborhood leaders in Maricopa County working to unite and support other neighborhood groups in Arizona. Our mission is to connect these groups in an effort to all work together to create change through policy, solve problems, and strnhthen Arizona's neighborhoods.

Since 2016, when SBI350 was signed into law, AZNA has spoken at the statehouse, met with local officials, communicated with law enforcement, interviewed with local & national media, and supported distraught Arizona residents watching their communities disappear as their neighbors are replaced by a steady rotation of transient strangers.

*Find us & our partners at: [aznalliance.org](http://aznalliance.org)*



**FY2014-FY2022: AZ HOTEL/MOTEL/ON-LINE MARKETING PLATFORM TPT ACCOUNTS**

COUNTY	6-30-14 HOTEL/ MOTEL TPT ACCTS FYE 2014	6-30-15 HOTEL/ MOTEL TPT ACCTS FYE 2015	6-30-16 HOTEL/ MOTEL TPT ACCTS FYE 2016	6-30-17 HOTEL/ MOTEL TPT ACCTS FYE 2017	6-30-18 HOTEL/ MOTEL TPT ACCTS FYE 2018	6-30-19 HOTEL/ MOTEL TPT ACCTS FYE 2019	6-30-20 HOTEL/ MOTEL/ OLM TPT ACCTS FYE 2020	6-30-21 HOTEL/ MOTEL/ OLM TPT ACCTS FYE 2021	6-30-22 HOTEL/ MOTEL/ OLM TPT ACCTS FYE 2022
Apache	46	48	51	54	54	56	48	46	53
Cochise	155	164	174	174	157	168	148	156	154
Coconino	328	342	409	502	577	630	547	525	638
Gila	69	69	74	75	85	92	86	93	101
Graham	Not Listed	Not Listed	14	14	16	14	14	14	20
Greenlee	Not Listed	Not Listed	12	14	14	12	14	13	17
La Paz	55	56	59	60	55	60	67	74	87
Maricopa	768	872	987	1159	1443	1745	1648	1572	2220
Mohave	154	158	175	223	248	298	268	315	415
Navajo	141	143	164	182	201	217	178	166	196
Pima	292	294	315	321	418	500	479	461	517
Pinal	108	98	111	120	85	152	130	125	144
Santa Cruz	46	50	56	51	48	52	45	45	56
Yavapai	212	231	255	316	422	492	451	464	595
Yuma	102	112	101	97	100	102	89	94	98
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2476</b>	<b>2637</b>	<b>2957</b>	<b>3362</b>	<b>3923</b>	<b>4590</b>	<b>4212</b>	<b>4163</b>	<b>5311</b>

TOTAL HOTEL/MOTEL/OLM TPT ACCOUNTS:	4163	5311
LESS HOTEL/MOTEL TPT ACCOUNTS AS OF 6/30/16 (Pre-SB1350):	-2957	-2957
<b>NET INCREASE IN TPT LICENSES FROM FY2016-FY2021:</b>	<b>1206</b>	<b>2354</b>
LESS ESTIMATED HOTELS ADDED (@20/Year):	-100	-120

**APPROXIMATE # OF SHORT-TERM RENTAL TPT LICENSES AT FISCAL YEAR-END:**

<b>1106</b>	<b>2234</b>
-------------	-------------

***But the Arizona Neighborhood Alliance estimates there were over 60,000 STRs operating in Arizona at that time. Where are their licenses?***

AirDNA's numbers of STRs in AZ are regularly quoted by the industry. **On 8/20/22, AirDNA's website listed: 32,982**  
**BUT AirDNA counts primarily only listings on Airbnb and VRBO, so is missing at least 20 significant OLMS**

www.hometogo.com lists Airbnb, VRBO, plus over 20 other OLMs. **On 8/20/22, Home To Go listed: 71,930**  
A more realistic number, BUT Home To Go *does* include some hotel/motel listings, so let's adjust to: **60,673**

Using that 60,673 adjusted estimate, we find that:

**If no hotels or motels opened between 2016 and 2022, portion of STRs that are legal: 3.9%**

**If 120 hotels or motels opened between 2016 and 2022, portion of STRs that are legal: 3.7%**

Compiled by:

**Arizona Neighborhood Alliance**



Protecting the residential integrity of Arizona's neighborhoods.

<https://aznalliance.org/>

# ***SHORT-TERM RENTALS' 2022 IMPACT on ARIZONA'S HOUSING SUPPLY***

Compiled by **Arizona Neighborhood Alliance**

## **INDEX:**

<b><i>COUNTY</i></b>	<b><i>PAGE</i></b>
<b>MARICOPA</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>COCONINO</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>YAVAPAI</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>PIMA</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>MOHAVE</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>NAVAJO</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>PINAL</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>GILA</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>COCHISE</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>YUMA</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>SANTA CRUZ</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>APACHE</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>LA PAZ</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>GRAHAM</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>GREENLEE</b>	<b>23</b>



# SHORT-TERM RENTALS' 2022 IMPACT on ARIZONA'S HOUSING SUPPLY

Compiled by *Arizona Neighborhood Alliance*

<b>ARIZONA</b>	<b>42,241</b>	<b>63,784</b>	<b>51%</b>			
<b>MARICOPA COUNTY</b>	Estimated Number of STRs <sup>1</sup> 3-7-22	Estimated Number of STRs <sup>1</sup> 12-31-22	9-Month Increase/ Decrease	Estimated % Whole-Home STRs <sup>2</sup> 12-31-22	Estimated % Private-Room STRs <sup>2</sup> 12-31-22	Estimated % Shared-Room STRs <sup>2</sup> 12-31-22
<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>22296</b>	<b>34,829</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Phoenix (including 85254 &amp; Laveen)<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>8361</b>	<b>12,343</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>1%</b>
85254		2240		92%	8%	0%
85003		307		89%	11%	0%
85004		352		88%	12%	0%
85006		561		95%	5%	0%
85007		240		97%	3%	0%
85008		436		88%	12%	0%
85009		99		87%	7%	7%
85012		92		87%	13%	0%
85013		317		91%	9%	0%
85014		465		94%	6%	0%
85015		255		89%	11%	0%
85016		717		92%	8%	0%
85017		23		79%	21%	0%
85018		799		95%	5%	0%
85019		26		75%	19%	6%
85020		322		94%	11%	6%
85021		151		89%	10%	1%
85022		288		87%	13%	0%

<b>MARICOPA COUNTY</b> (2 of 6)	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup></b> <b>3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup></b> <b>12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup></b> <b>12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup></b> <b>12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup></b> <b>12-31-22</b>
------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------

Phoenix - 2

85023	104	90%	8%	2%
85024	158	86%	14%	0%
85027	145	94%	6%	0%
85028	215	98%	2%	0%
85029	197	74%	24%	2%
85031	20	58%	42%	0%
85032	654	91%	8%	0%
85033	61	51%	49%	0%
85034	74	91%	9%	0%
85035	41	56%	44%	0%
85037	209	88%	12%	0%
85040	166	66%	33%	1%
85041	156	46%	42%	12%
85042	491	77%	20%	3%
85043	61	43%	54%	3%
85044	365	79%	21%	0%
85045	18	82%	18%	0%
85048	173	90%	10%	0%
85050	197	91%	9%	0%
85051	273	87%	13%	1%
85053	76	85%	15%	0%
85054	288	94%	6%	0%
85083	58	83%	17%	0%
85085	94	93%	7%	0%
85086	201	88%	11%	1%
85339	161	56%	44%	0%

<b>MARICOPA COUNTY</b> (3 of 6)	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<b>Scottsdale (excluding 85254)</b>	<b>4960</b>	<b>8153</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>
85250		830		97%	17%	0%
85251		3028		97%	3%	0%
85255		639		92%	8%	0%
85257		1305		96%	4%	0%
85258		506		97%	3%	0%
85259		273		95%	5%	0%
85260		1077		96%	4%	0%
85262		311		96%	4%	0%
85266		184		100%	0%	0%
<b>Mesa</b>	<b>1494</b>	<b>2425</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>0%</b>
85201		278		83%	17%	0%
85202		187		94%	6%	0%
85203		135		93%	7%	0%
85204		136		73%	24%	2%
85205		145		83%	17%	0%
85206		222		97%	3%	0%
85207		252		90%	10%	0%
85208		118		86%	14%	0%
85209		132		90%	10%	0%
85210		418		92%	8%	0%
85212		102		77%	23%	0%
85213		127		92%	8%	0%
85215		174		89%	11%	0%
<b>Tempe</b>	<b>1471</b>	<b>2214</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>1%</b>
85281		1029		91%	9%	0%
85282		786		84%	15%	1%
85283		322		85%	15%	1%
85284		77		87%	11%	2%



<b>MARICOPA COUNTY</b> (4 of 6)	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<b>Chandler</b>	<b>847</b>	<b>1407</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>0%</b>
85224		224		92%	8%	0%
85225		395		87%	13%	0%
85226		352		91%	9%	0%
85248		220		98%	2%	0%
85249		99		90%	10%	0%
85286		118		61%	38%	1%
<b>Glendale</b>	<b>668</b>	<b>1318</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>0%</b>
85301		85		90%	10%	0%
85302		77		79%	21%	0%
85303		104		83%	17%	0%
85304		118		72%	28%	0%
85305		276		88%	12%	0%
85306		74		89%	11%	0%
85307		79		83%	17%	0%
85308		411		89%	11%	0%
85310		94		93%	7%	0%
<b>Gilbert</b>	<b>713</b>	<b>1128</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>0%</b>
85233		191		94%	5%	2%
85234		375		88%	12%	0%
85295		166		87%	13%	0%
85296		164		81%	19%	0%
85297		151		85%	15%	0%
85298		81		92%	8%	0%
<b>Paradise Valley 85253</b> <small>(includes some SZ &amp; Phx)</small>	<b>602</b>	<b>861</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>

<b>MARICOPA COUNTY</b> (5 of 6)	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<b>Peoria</b>	<i>NA</i>	<b>861</b>	<i>NA</i>	<b>88%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>0%</b>
85345		247		87%	13%	0%
85381		135		90%	10%	0%
85382		186		90%	10%	0%
85383		294		85%	15%	0%
<b>Goodyear</b>	<b>446</b>	<b>735</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>0%</b>
85338		508		92%	8%	0%
85395		227		94%	6%	0%
<b>Surprise</b>	<b>379</b>	<b>638</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>0%</b>
85374		286		94%	6%	0%
85379		189		86%	13%	1%
85387		48		93%	7%	0%
85388		1125		90%	10%	0%
<b>Fountain Hills - 85268</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>429</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Cave Creek - 85331</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>408</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Avondale</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>0%</b>
85323		120		75%	25%	0%
85392		155		89%	11%	0%
<b>Queen Creek - 85142</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>1%</b>
<b>Litchfield Park - 85340</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Buckeye</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>1%</b>
85326		148		69%	30%	1%
85396		108		76%	24%	0%

<b>MARICOPA COUNTY</b> (6 of 6)	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<b>Sun City</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>142%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>0%</b>
85351		163		90%	10%	0%
85373		53		84%	16%	0%
<b>Sun City West - 85375</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>143%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Wickenburg - 85390</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Carefree - 85377</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Tolleson - 85353</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>2%</b>
<b>Rio Verde - 85263</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>El Mirage - 85335</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>New River - 85087</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>-13%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Black Cnyn City - 85324</b>	<i>NA</i>	<b>23</b>	<i>NA</i>	<b>93%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Youngtown - 85363</b>	<i>NA</i>	<b>3</b>	<i>NA</i>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Gila Bend</b>	<i>NA</i>	<b>3</b>	<i>NA</i>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>



<b>COCONINO COUNTY</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>4632</b>	<b>5342</b>	<b>15.33%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>0%</b>

N.B. Numbers are distorted because part of Sedona is in Yavapai County

<b>Sedona-Oak Creek</b>	<b>2118</b>	<b>2458</b>	<b>16.05%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>0%</b>
86336		1794		90%	10%	0%
86351		664		84%	16%	0%
<b>Flagstaff</b> (incl. Mountaineer & Kachina Village)	<b>1556</b>	<b>1715</b>	<b>10.22%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0%</b>
86001		1062		95%	5%	0%
86004		651		93%	7%	0%
<b>Williams -86046</b>	<b>366</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>16.94%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Page - 86040</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>6.13%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Munds Park - 86017</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>24.74%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Fredonia - 86022</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>21.43%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Forest Lakes - 85931</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Parks - 86018</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>10.00%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Happy Jack - 86024</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>31.25%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Marble Canyon - 86036</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Bellefont - 86015</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Grand Canyon - 86023</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>0%</b>

<b>COCONINO COUNTY</b> (2 of 2)	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<b>Mormon Lake</b>	NA	<b>2</b>	NA	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Cameron</b>	NA	<b>1</b>	NA	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Tuba City</b>	NA	<b>1</b>	NA	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>

*No units found in: Kaibeto, Leupp or Tonolea*

<b>YAVAPAI COUNTY</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<i>Numbers are distorted because part of Sedona is in Coconino County</i>						
<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>4031</b>	<b>5892</b>	<b>46.17%</b>	<b>#REF!</b>	<b>#REF!</b>	<b>#REF!</b>
<b>Prescott</b>	<b>2169</b>	<b>3004</b>	<b>38.50%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>
86301		721		93%	7%	0%
86303		1712		91%	9%	0%
86305		571		96%	4%	0%
<b>Cottonwood - 86326</b>	<b>572</b>	<b>864</b>	<b>51.05%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Cornville - 86325</b>	<b>416</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>2.16%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Clarkdale - 86324</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>29.73%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Camp Verde - 86322</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>285</b>	<b>17.77%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Prescott Valley</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>45.22%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>0%</b>
86314		187		87%	13%	0%
86315		41		33%	67%	0%
<b>Rimrock - 86335 (incl. Lake Montezuma)</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Jerome - 86331</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>14.85%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Dewey - 86327</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>8.33%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Ash Fork - 86320</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Chino Valley - 86323</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>15.38%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Black Cnyn City - 85324</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Seligman - 86337</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Mayer - 86333</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>118.75%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Crown King - 86343</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>



<b>YAVAPAI COUNTY</b> (2 of 2)	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
Paulden - 86334	NA	32	NA	89%	11%	0%
Skull Valley - 86338	NA	25	NA	100%	0%	0%
Yarnell	NA	18	NA	80%	20%	0%
Humboldt - 86329	NA	14	NA	75%	25%	0%
Congress - 85332	NA	14	NA	100%	0%	0%
Kirkland	NA	11	NA	100%	0%	0%

*No units found in Bagdad*

<b>PIMA COUNTY</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>4103</b>	<b>6492</b>	<b>58.23%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Tucson</b>	<b>3779</b>	<b>5959</b>	<b>57.69%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>0%</b>
85701		238		88%	12%	0%
85704		290		91%	9%	0%
85705		369		88%	12%	0%
85706		71		69%	29%	2%
85710		202		79%	19%	1%
85711		262		88%	13%	0%
85712		214		90%	10%	0%
85713		88		84%	16%	0%
85715		124		87%	12%	0%
85716		524		90%	10%	0%
85718		393		95%	5%	0%
85719		601		95%	5%	0%
85730		85		87%	13%	0%
85735		43		77%	23%	0%
85737		144		98%	2%	0%
85739		96		90%	10%	0%
85741		104		86%	14%	0%
85742		178		91%	9%	0%
85743		124		83%	12%	4%
85745		442		89%	11%	0%
85746		60		93%	7%	0%
85747		95		80%	18%	2%
85748		69		92%	8%	0%
85749		172		92%	8%	0%
85750		512		96%	4%	0%
85755		310		96%	4%	0%

<b>PIMA COUNTY</b> (2 of 2)	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
--------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------

Tucson - cont.

85756		119		37%	63%	0%
85757		30		82%	18%	0%

<b>Green Valley</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>97.32%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>
85614		139		96%	4%	0%
85622		82		93%	7%	0%
<b>Marana</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>45.10%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>0%</b>
85653		24		44%	56%	0%
85658		50		92%	8%	0%
<b>Mt. Lemmon - 85619</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>61.11%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Vail - 85641</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>29.73%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Oracle - 85623</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>40.63%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Sahuarita - 85629</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>89.47%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Arivaca - 85601</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>42.11%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Ajo - 85321</b> (incl. Lukeville)	<b>19</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21.05%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0%</b>

No units found in: Sasabe, Sells or Topawa

Included in Tucson: Catalina, Cortaro and Rillito

<b>MOHAVE COUNTY</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>1868</b>	<b>3551</b>	<b>90.10%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Lake Havasu City</b>	<b>1284</b>	<b>2314</b>	<b>80.22%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>
86403		840		99%	1%	0%
86404		566		98%	2%	0%
86406		908		99%	1%	0%
<b>Bullhead City</b>	<b>382</b>	<b>659</b>	<b>72.51%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0%</b>
86429		237		96%	4%	0%
86442		422		100%	0%	0%
<b>Kingman</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>44.29%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>0%</b>
86401		152		77%	23%	0%
86409		50		78%	22%	0%
<b>Fort Mohave - 86426</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Meadview - 86444</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>40.98%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Mohave Valley - 86440</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Colorado City - 86021</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Topock - 86436</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Dolan Springs - 86441</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Golden Valley - 86413</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Littlefield - 86432</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Hackberry - 86441</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Yucca - 86438</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Willow Beach - 86445</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>

No units found in: Hualapai Chloride Oatman Peach Springs Temple Bar Marina Valentine Wickieup



<b>NAVAJO COUNTY</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>1720</b>	<b>2865</b>	<b>66.57%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Pinetop - 85935</b>	<b>744</b>	<b>1192</b>	<b>60.22%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Show Low - 85901</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>638</b>	<b>63.59%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Lakeside - 85929</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>73.24%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Overgaard - 85933</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>64.89%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Heber - 85928</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>70.27%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Snowflake - 85937</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>116.00%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Winslow - 86047</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>112.50%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Holbrook - 86025</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>-4.76%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Pinedale - 85934</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Taylor - 85939</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Sun Valley - 86029</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Joseph City</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Kayenta</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>

*No units found in: Blue Gap, Cibecue, Clay Springs, Fort Apache, Indian Wells, Keams Canyon, Pinon, Polacca, Second Mesa, Shoto, or Whiteriver*

*White Mountain Lake is included in Show Low numbers, and Woodruff is in Holbrook numbers.*

<b>PINAL COUNTY</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>726</b>	<b>1199</b>	<b>65.15%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>San Tan Valley</b> (incl. Johnson Ranch)	<b>194</b>	<b>302</b>	<b>55.67%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>1%</b>
85140		109		89%	11%	0%
85143		193		92%	7%	1%
<b>Apache Junction</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>77.45%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>0%</b>
85119		129		90%	10%	0%
85120		52		97%	3%	0%
<b>Maricopa</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>37.12%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>0%</b>
85138		112		87%	13%	0%
85139		69		79%	21%	0%
<b>Casa Grande</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>76.00%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>0%</b>
85122		139		77%	23%	0%
85194		37		81%	19%	0%
<b>Gold Canyon - 85118</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>52.94%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Florence - 85132</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>31.25%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Oracle - 85623</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>19.51%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Arizona City - 85123</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Coolidge - 85128</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>76.92%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Eloy - 85131</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>50.00%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Superior - 85173</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>San Manuel - 85631</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>0%</b>

No units found in: Bapchule, Kearney, Mammoth, Picacho, Red Rook, Sacaton, Stanfield

Valley Farms is included in Coolidge numbers.

<b>GILA COUNTY</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>823</b>	<b>980</b>	<b>19.08%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>0%</b>
Payson-Christopher Creek - 85441	408	504	23.53%	95%	5%	0%
Pine-Strawberry - 85544	356	343	-3.65%	99%	1%	0%
Globe - 85501	29	41	41.38%	75%	25%	0%
Young - 85554	NA	38	NA	62%	38%	0%
Tonto Basin - 85553	NA	40	NA	100%	0%	0%
Roosevelt - 85545	23	21	-8.70%	93%	7%	0%
Winkelman	7	NA	NA	33%	67%	0%
Miami	NA	NA	NA	100%	0%	0%

*No units found in: Peridot or San Carlos*

Claypool is included in Miami numbers, and Hayden is in Winkelman's.

<b>COCHISE COUNTY</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>433</b>	<b>787</b>	<b>81.76%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Bisbee - 85603</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>18.42%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Sierra Vista</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>36.84%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>0%</b>
85635		129		86%	14%	0%
85650		53		77%	23%	0%
<b>Tombstone - 85638</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Pearce - 85625</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Willcox - 85643</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>30.43%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Hereford - 85615</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Benson - 85602</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Huachuca City - 85616</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>San Simon - 85632</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Dragoon - 85609</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>McNeal - 85617</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Douglas - 85607</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Elfrida - 85610</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Cochise</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Saint David</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Pomerene</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>

No units found in: Fort Huachuca, Bowie, Naco and Pirtleville



<b>YUMA COUNTY</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>849</b>	<b>20.94%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Yuma</b>	<b>678</b>	<b>795</b>	<b>17.26%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>
85364		291		95%	7%	0%
85365		279		89%	11%	0%
85367		226		97%	3%	0%
<b>Somerton-85350</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>16.67%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>San Luis - 85349</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Wellton</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Tacna</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>

No units found in: Dateland, Gadsden, or Roll

<b>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>306</b>	<b>4.08%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Tubac -85646</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>-24.04%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Patagonia - 85624</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>-10.00%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Nogales - 85621</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>-17.24%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Sonoita - 85637</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>50.00%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Elgin - 85611</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Rio Rico - 85648</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>3.70%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Tumacacori -85640</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Amado - 85645</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>0%</b>

<b>APACHE COUNTY</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>451</b>	<b>8.15%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Greer - 85927</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>-13.58%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Alpine - 85920</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>31.11%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Eagar - 85925</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>7.14%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Saint Johns - 85936</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Springerville - 85938</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>-10.00%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Nutrioso - 85932</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Concho - 85924</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Chinle</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Vernon - 85940</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>

*No units found in: Chambers, Fort Defiance, Ganado, Houck, Lukachukai, Lupton, Many Farms, McNary  
Saint Michaels, Sanders, Teec Nos Pos, Tsaile, Window Rock, or Petrified Forest N.P.*

<b>LA PAZ COUNTY</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>12.24%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0%</b>
Parker/Bluewater/ Cienega Springs - 85344	151	174	15.23%	97%	3%	0%
Ehrenberg - 85344	45	36	-20.00%	96%	4%	0%
Quartzite - 85346	NA	7	NA	100%	0%	0%
Bouse	NA	1	NA	100%	0%	0%
Salome	NA	1	NA	100%	0%	0%

No units found in: Cibola, Poston, and Wenden

<b>GRAHAM COUNTY</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>0%</b>
Safford	NA	2	NA	92%	8%	0%
Thatcher	NA	1	NA	100%	0%	0%
Pima - 85543	NA	NA	NA	0%	100%	0%

No units found in: Bryce, Central, Swift Trail Junction, Bylas, Fort Thomas, San Jose, or Solomon

<b>GREENLEE COUNTY</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 3-7-22</b>	<b>Estimated Number of STRs<sup>1</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>9-Month Increase/ Decrease</b>	<b>Estimated % Whole-Home STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Private-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>	<b>Estimated % Shared-Room STRs<sup>2</sup> 12-31-22</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>	NA	<b>18</b>	NA	<b>33%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>0%</b>
<b>Duncan</b>	NA	<b>18</b>	NA	<b>33%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>0%</b>

No units found in: Clifton, Blue, or Morenci

**FOOTNOTES:**

1. Our estimates are based on the best available information from AirDNA (www.airdna.co), Home to Go (www.hometogo.com), registration data from cities and towns, and other sources. As the market has mushroomed and changed, information has evolved as well. The ability to analyze by zip code is new in the last few months, which is why March figures are by city/town only.
2. Source: AirDNA
3. Though Laveen has its own zip code, it is part of Phoenix and under Phoenix governance, so we included it there. The geography of two other zip codes, 85253 and 85254, complicate analysis of STR prevalence, as they straddle city/town boundaries. 85253 shows as Paradise Valley, but includes parts of Scottsdale and Phoenix. This inflates Paradise Valley's STR numbers, but parsing out those neighborhoods was untenable. However, even though 85254 is a Scottsdale mailing address, virtually all of it is in Phoenix, so we included that zip code in our Phoenix numbers.

**Arizona Neighborhood Alliance** is a grassroots organization made up of neighborhood leaders across Arizona working to unite, support and strengthen Arizona's neighborhoods -- the very foundation and "beating heart" of our State.

**Find us & our partners at: [aznalliance.org](http://aznalliance.org)**



**Protecting the residential integrity of Arizona's neighborhoods**